



# Problem definition

CBL Graphic Design, 2021-2022



## Introduction of the company

Together with the social partners, the PRIZMA Foundation promotes job creation and reduces unemployment, contributing significantly to improving the socio-economic situation in the region. It is one of the key players in the region in increasing employment opportunities for redundant and unemployed workers and promoting their reintegration into the labour market. PRIZMA Foundation's activities are aimed at supporting the unemployed and companies in their HR renewal and the preparation of HR renewal programmes, human resource development plans and employee training plans. PRIZMA's activities focus mainly on career planning advice, (self-)employment, lifelong learning, mentoring, improving competences and skills through training and other support measures for redundant and unemployed workers.

## Logo applications



STARTNI KARIERO  
POTENCIALNI

**“ZA zaposljivost v 21. stoletju”**

**Podravski Karierni dialog  
2019**

EVROPSKA UNIJA  
EVROPSKI SKLAD  
NAČRTI ZA RAZVOJ IN ENAKE MOŽNOSTI

REPUBLIKA SLOVENIJA  
MINISTRSTVO ZA DELO, DRUŽINO,  
SOCIALNE ZADEVE IN ENAKE MOŽNOSTI

PRIZMA  
Fundacija za izboljšanje zaposlitvenih  
možnosti

Zavod Republike Slovenije  
za zaposlovanje

**OKT** Podravski Karierni Dialog 2019  
**16.** Javno · Gostitelj: Fundacija Prizma / Foundation Prizma in  
Hotel Maribor

The banner features a central image of two people in a discussion. Logos for the European Union, the Slovenian Ministry of Labour, Family, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, and PRIZMA are visible. The text is in both Slovenian and English.




Natalija Žunko,  
vodja projekta



# ACADEMIA

Problem definition Nastja Soko

	<b>1.- Graphic quality (No)</b> The typography and the symbol is becoming outdated. Font used for description is a basic font that is used in every day situations (for example Office). The contrast between the letters is not sufficient.	<b>8.- Reproducibility (No)</b> Colors and the gradients make it harder to reproduce on different vessels.
	<b>2.- Typological adjustment (Yes)</b> Logotype has a symbol because of a long name.	<b>9.- Legibility (No)</b> It has many elements that are smaller so it would be hard to read on smaller applications. The contrast between the letters is not sufficient.
	<b>3.- Stylistic correctness (Yes)</b> Logotype shows level of seriousness and professionalism, but still makes it approachable to target group.	<b>10.- Understandability (No)</b> The symbol generates confusion when it comes to understanding its meaning.
	<b>4.- Semantic compatibility (No)</b> The colors are widely associated with LGBTQ+ culture which is not explicitly a target group or meant to represent it.	<b>11.- Recordability (No)</b> The shapes and accents are quite complicated meaning watcher would need more time to process and it would be harder to remember it.
	<b>5.- Sufficiency (No)</b> The logotype is excessive, it has too many elements and effects. If using it on small applications it becomes unreadable.	<b>12.- Eye-catching ability (Yes)</b> Colors of the logotype are eye catching for the viewer.
	<b>6.- Versatility (Yes/No)</b> /	<b>13.- Singularity (Yes/No)</b> /

7.- Validity **(No)**

The logotype will expire because of the use of gradient which is a trend in design. It already looks outdated because of the elements and used fonts.

14.- Clonality **(No)**

Because it's too complex to work well in a system.